arXiv:0806.3119v2 [math.OA] 25 Feb 2009

Classification and realizations of type III factor representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras associated with quasi-free states

Katsunori Kawamura*
College of Science and Engineering Ritsumeikan University,
1-1-1 Noji Higashi, Kusatsu, Shiga 525-8577, Japan

Abstract

We completely classify type III factor representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras associated with quasi-free states up to unitary equivalence. Furthermore, we realize these representations on concrete Hilbert spaces without using GNS construction. Free groups and their type II_1 factor representations are used in these realizations.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 46K10, 46L30.
Keywords. Cuntz-Krieger algebra, quasi-free state, type III factor representation.

1 Introduction

Representations of operator algebras are ingredients necessary for quantum field theory [1, 9]. We have studied representations of C*-algebras. Any $*$-representation of any separable C*-algebra on a separable Hilbert space is canonically decomposed into direct integrals of factor representations, and a factor representation is either type I or II or III ([3], § III.5). For any simple Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ [5], there exists neither type I_n $(1 \leq n < \infty)$ nor type II_1 nondegenerate representation because $\mathcal{O}_A$ is purely infinite ([17], Rørdam, Proposition 4.4.2) and a purely infinite C*-algebra has no nondegenerate lower semicontinuous trace ([3], Proposition V.2.2.29). Type I, especially, irreducible representations were studied in [13]. In [16], type III's were constructed as Gel'fand-Naĭmark-Segal (=GNS) representations

---

*e-mail: kawamura@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp.

from quasi-free states [7, 8] and every isomorphism classes of von Neumann algebras N_π generated by such representations π were completely classified. However, even if N_π and $N_{\pi'}$ are isomorphic, π and $\pi^{'}$ are not equivalent in general.

In this paper, we completely classify type III factor representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras associated with quasi-free states up to unitary equivalence. Next, we realize these representations on concrete Hilbert spaces without using GNS construction from states. Free groups and their type II_1 factor representations are used in these realizations.

1.1 Type III factor representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras associated with quasi-free states

In this subsection, we review states and representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras by [8, 16] according to notations and symbols in [15]. We state that a matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$ is *nondegenerate* if any column and any row are not zero; A is *irreducible* if for any $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, there exists $k \in \mathbf{N} \equiv \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ such that $(A^k)_{i,j} \neq 0$ where $A^k = A \cdots A$ (k times). For $2 \leq n < \infty$, let $\mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$ denote the set of all irreducible nondegenerate $n \times n$ matrices with entries 0 or 1, which is not a permutation matrix. Define

$$\mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\}) \equiv \cup\{\mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\}) : n \geq 2\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Let $I_0^n \equiv \{(x_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbf{R}^n : \text{for all } i,\ 0 < x_i < 1\}$. For $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_i)_{i=1}^n \in I_0^n$, define $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}} \equiv \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$. For $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$, let $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}A$ denote the product of matrices $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and A. Define the set $\Lambda(A)$ of vectors by

$$\Lambda(A) \equiv \{\boldsymbol{a} \in I_0^n : PFE(\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}A) = 1\} \tag{1.2}$$

where $PFE(X)$ denotes the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of an irreducible non-negative matrix X [2, 18]. For $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$ and $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_i)_{i=1}^n \in \Lambda(A)$, let $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ denote the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}A$ such that $x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 1$ and let $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ denote the canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_A$. Define the state $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ over $\mathcal{O}_A$ by

$$\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_J s_K^*) = \delta_{JK} a_{j_1} \ldots a_{j_{m-1}} x_{j_m} \tag{1.3}$$

when $s_J s_K^* \neq 0$ for $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^m$ and $K \in \cup_{l \geq 1}\{1, \ldots, n\}^l$ where $s_J = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_m}$. The state $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is called *quasi-free* [16]. The relation between the original style of $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ in [16] and (1.3) is shown in Lemma 3.1 of [15]. Then the following holds.

Theorem 1.1 *For $\boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(A)$, let $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ denote the GNS representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ by $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$. Then the von Neumann algebra $M_{\boldsymbol{a}} \equiv \varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}(\mathcal{O}_A)''$ is an approximately finite dimensional factor of type* III. *Furthermore, Connes' classification of the type of $M_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ [4] is given as follows:*

(i) *If there exist $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that the greatest common divisor of the set $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ is 1 and $\boldsymbol{a} = (\lambda^{p_1}, \ldots, \lambda^{p_n})$, then $p_1, \ldots, p_n, \lambda$ are uniquely determined by $\boldsymbol{a}$, and $M_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is of type* III_λ.

(ii) *If the assumptions in (i) do not hold, then $M_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is of type* III_1.

Theorem 1.1 is a reformulation of Theorem 4.2 in [16] without use of terminology of KMS states.

From Theorem 1.1, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ is uniquely determined from a given $\boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(A)$ such that $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is of type III_λ. We write this as $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a})$. Then the family $\{\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} : \boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(A)\}$ of type III factor representations of $\mathcal{O}_A$ are roughly classified by real numbers $\lambda(\boldsymbol{a})$.

1.2 Classification

Let $\mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\})$ be as in (1.1) and let $A \in \mathcal{M}_*(\{0,1\})$. For $\Lambda(A)$ in (1.2), $\boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(A)$ and $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ in Theorem 1.1, we give the complete classification of unitary equivalence classes of $\{\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}} : \boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(A)\}$ as follows.

Theorem 1.2 *For $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \Lambda(A)$, $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ are unitarily equivalent if and only if $\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{b}$.*

Immediately, we see that for two quasi-free states ρ and ρ' over $\mathcal{O}_A$, their GNS representations π_ρ and $\pi_{\rho'}$ are unitarily equivalent if and only if $\rho = \rho'$. Remark that $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ are unitarily equivalent if and only if they are quasi-equivalent because they are of type III and their representation spaces are separable ([6], § 5.6.6). Therefore $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ are quasi-equivalent if and only if $\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{b}$. From Theorem 1.2, the following holds.

Corollary 1.3 *The set $\{[\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}] : \boldsymbol{a} \in \Lambda(A)\}$ of unitary equivalence classes of representations and $\Lambda(A)$ are in one-to-one correspondence.*

1.3 Realizations

We realize $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ in Theorem 1.1 in this subsection. Let $L_2[0,1]$ denote the Hilbert space of all complex-valued square integrable functions on the closed interval $[0,1]$. At the beginning, we construct a representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ on

$L_2[0,1]$. For $A=(A_{ij})\in\mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$ and $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_i)_{i=1}^n\in\Lambda(A)$, let $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ denote the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}A$ such that $x_1+\cdots+x_n=1$. Define real numbers $\{c_i\}_{i=0}^n$ and $\{b_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ by

$$c_0\equiv 0,\quad c_i\equiv\sum_{k=1}^{i}x_k,\quad b_{ij}\equiv c_{i-1}-a_i\sum_{k=1}^{j}(1-A_{ik})x_k\quad (i,j=1,\ldots,n).$$

Then $0=c_0<c_1<\cdots<c_n=1$ and $b_{i1}\geq\cdots\geq b_{in}$ for each i. Define closed intervals $R_i\equiv[c_{i-1},\,c_i]$ and $V_{ij}\equiv[a_ic_{j-1}+b_{ij},\,a_ic_j+b_{ij}]$ for $i,j=1,\ldots,n$. Then $\bigcup_{j=1;A_{ij}=1}^{n}V_{ij}=R_i$ and $V_{ij}\cap V_{ij'}$ is a null set when $j\neq j'$. Define the representation $\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$ on $L_2[0,1]$ by

$$\{\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_i)\phi\}(y)\equiv\begin{cases} a_i^{-1/2}\phi((y-b_{ij})/a_i) & (y\in V_{ij},\,A_{ij}=1),\\ \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise})\end{cases}\tag{1.4}$$

for $i=1,\ldots,n$, $\phi\in L_2[0,1]$ and $y\in[0,1]$.

Next, let $\mathbf{F}_n$ denote the free group with generators $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n$ and let U denote the left regular representation of $\mathbf{F}_n$ on $l_2(\mathbf{F}_n)$.

By using $(L_2[0,1],\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}})$ and $(l_2(\mathbf{F}_n),U)$, we define a new representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ as follows.

Theorem 1.4 *Define the representation* $\Pi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ *of* $\mathcal{O}_A$ *on the Hilbert space* $L_2[0,1]\otimes l_2(\mathbf{F}_n)$ *by*

$$\Pi_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_i)\equiv\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_i)\otimes U_{\xi_i}\quad(i=1,\ldots,n).\tag{1.5}$$

Let $\{e_g:g\in\mathbf{F}_n\}$ *denote the standard basis of* $l_2(\mathbf{F}_n)$ *and define the cyclic subspace* $\mathcal{K}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ *of* $L_2[0,1]\otimes l_2(\mathbf{F}_n)$ *by*

$$\mathcal{K}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\equiv\overline{\Pi_{\boldsymbol{a}}(\mathcal{O}_A)(\mathbf{1}\otimes e_\varepsilon)}\tag{1.6}$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ *denotes the constant function on* $[0,1]$ *with value* 1 *and* ε *denotes the unit of* $\mathbf{F}_n$. *Then* $(\mathcal{K}_{\boldsymbol{a}},\Pi_{\boldsymbol{a}}|_{\mathcal{K}_{\boldsymbol{a}}})$ *is a type* III *factor representation of* $\mathcal{O}_A$ *which is unitarily equivalent to* $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ *in Theorem 1.1.*

From Theorem 1.2 and 1.4, the following is verified for $\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ in (1.4).

Proposition 1.5 *For each* $\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}\in\Lambda(A)$, $\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ *and* $\eta_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ *are unitarily equivalent if and only if* $\boldsymbol{a}=\boldsymbol{b}$.

From Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 1.5, the classification of $\{\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}:\boldsymbol{a}\in\Lambda(A)\}$ is equivalent to that of $\{\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}:\boldsymbol{a}\in\Lambda(A)\}$. The idea of the construction of $\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ has originated as representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras arising from interval dynamical systems [11, 12, 14]. When $A_{ij}=1$ for all i,j, $\mathcal{O}_A\cong\mathcal{O}_n$ and it is known that $\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is irreducible for each $\boldsymbol{a}\in\Lambda(A)$ (Appendix A).

In § 2, we will prove Theorem 1.2 and 1.4. In § 3, we will show examples.

2 Proofs of main theorems

We prove main theorems in this section.

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we prepare two lemmata.

Lemma 2.1 *For* $A = (A_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$*, let* $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_i)_{i=1}^n, \boldsymbol{b} = (b_i)_{i=1}^n \in \Lambda(A)$ *and let* $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_i)_{i=1}^n$ *and* $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_i)_{i=1}^n$ *be Perron-Frobenius eigenvectors of* $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}A$ *and* $\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}A$*, respectively such that* $x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 1$ *and* $y_1 + \cdots + y_n = 1$*. For* $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$*, define*

$$D_{ij} \equiv \sqrt{a_i b_i A_{ij}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Assume $\boldsymbol{a} \neq \boldsymbol{b}$*.*

(i) *There exists* $0 < c < 1$ *such that the following holds for any* $i = 1, \ldots, n$*:*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{ij}\sqrt{x_j y_j} \leq c\sqrt{x_i y_i}. \tag{2.2}$$

(ii) *For* $m \geq 2$*, define the positive real number* T_m *by*

$$T_m \equiv \sum_{(j_1,\ldots,j_m)\in\{1,\ldots,n\}^m} D_{j_1 j_2}\cdots D_{j_{m-1}j_m}\sqrt{x_{j_m} y_{j_m}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Then $T_m \to 0$ *when* $m \to \infty$*.*

Proof. (i) Fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\boldsymbol{v} \equiv (\sqrt{a_i A_{ij} x_j})_{j=1}^n$ and $\boldsymbol{w} \equiv (\sqrt{b_i A_{ij} y_j})_{j=1}^n$. From the Schwarz inequality and the assumption of $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{ij}\sqrt{x_j y_j} = \langle \boldsymbol{v}|\boldsymbol{w}\rangle \leq \|\boldsymbol{v}\|\|\boldsymbol{w}\| = \sqrt{x_i}\sqrt{y_i}. \tag{2.4}$$

Define $c_i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{ij}\sqrt{x_j y_j}/\sqrt{x_i y_i}$. Then $0 \leq c_i \leq 1$. Since the L.H.S. of (2.2) is not zero, $c_i > 0$. Assume $c_i = 1$. Then we see that there exists $k > 0$ such that $\boldsymbol{v} = k\boldsymbol{w}$. This implies $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{y}$. From this, $\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{b}$. This is a contradiction. Hence $c_i < 1$. Let $c \equiv \max_i\{c_i\}$. Then $0 < c < 1$ and we obtain that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{ij}\sqrt{x_j y_j} = c_i\sqrt{x_i y_i} \leq c\sqrt{x_i y_i}$ for each i. From this, the statement holds.

(ii) Let c be as in (i). From (i), $T_m \leq cT_{m-1}$ when $m \geq 3$. Let $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{x}} \equiv (\sqrt{x_i})_{i=1}^n$ and $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{y}} \equiv (\sqrt{y_i})_{i=1}^n$. Then $\|\sqrt{\boldsymbol{x}}\| = \|\sqrt{\boldsymbol{y}}\| = 1$. From (i) and the Schwarz inequality,

$$T_2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_{ij}\sqrt{x_j y_j} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n c\sqrt{x_i y_i} \leq c\langle\sqrt{\boldsymbol{x}}|\sqrt{\boldsymbol{y}}\rangle \leq c. \tag{2.5}$$

From these, we see that $T_m \leq c^{m-1}$ for each $m \geq 2$. This implies the statement. ▮

Lemma 2.2 *Let $A, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ be as in Lemma 2.1. Assume that $\mathcal{O}_A$ acts on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let ξ, ζ be unit vectors in $\mathcal{H}$ and let ρ and $\rho^{'}$ denote vector states over $\mathcal{O}_A$ with respect to ξ and ζ, respectively. When $\boldsymbol{a} \neq \boldsymbol{b}$, the following holds.*

(i) *Assume that ρ and $\rho^{'}$ satisfy*

$$\rho(s_J s_J^*) = a_{j_1}\cdots a_{j_{m-1}} x_{j_m}, \quad \rho^{'}(s_J s_J^*) = b_{j_1}\cdots b_{j_{m-1}} y_{j_m} \tag{2.6}$$

when $s_J \neq 0$ for each $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^m$, $m \geq 1$. Then $\langle\xi|\zeta\rangle = 0$.

(ii) *Assume that there exist $i_0, i_0^{'} \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that ρ and $\rho^{'}$ satisfy*

$$\rho(s_J s_J^*) = \kappa_{i_0,j_1} a_{j_1}\cdots a_{j_{m-1}} x_{j_m}, \quad \rho^{'}(s_J s_J^*) = \kappa^{'}_{i_0^{'},j_1} b_{j_1}\cdots b_{j_{m-1}} y_{j_m}$$

when $s_J \neq 0$ for each $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^m$, $m \geq 1$ where $\kappa_{i_0,j_1} \equiv a_{i_0} A_{i_0,j_1}/x_{i_0}$ and $\kappa^{'}_{i_0^{'},j_1} \equiv b_{i_0^{'}} A_{i_0^{'},j_1}/y_{i_0^{'}}$. Then $\langle\xi|\zeta\rangle = 0$.

Proof. (i) Let T_m be as in (2.3) and $E_J = s_J s_J^*$. Since $\sum_{J\in\{1,\ldots,n\}^m} E_J = I$ and $|\langle\xi|E_J\zeta\rangle| \leq \sqrt{\rho(E_J)}\sqrt{\rho^{'}(E_J)}$,

$$|\langle\xi|\zeta\rangle| \leq \sum_{J\in\{1,\ldots,n\}^m} \sqrt{\rho(E_J)}\sqrt{\rho^{'}(E_J)} = T_m. \tag{2.7}$$

This holds for each $m \geq 2$. From Lemma 2.1(ii), the statement holds.
(ii) Let D_{ij} be as in (2.1). From the proof of (i),

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle\xi|\zeta\rangle| \leq & \textstyle\sum_{J\in\{1,\ldots,n\}^m} \sqrt{\rho(E_J)}\sqrt{\rho^{'}(E_J)} \\ = & \; q\textstyle\sum_{(j_1,\ldots,j_m)\in\{1,\ldots,n\}^m} A_{i_0,j_1} A_{i_0^{'},j_1} D_{j_1 j_2}\cdots D_{j_{m-1}j_m}\sqrt{x_{j_m} y_{j_m}} \\ \leq & \; qT_m \to 0 \qquad (m \to \infty) \end{aligned}$$

where $q \equiv \{a_{i_0} b_{i'_0}/(x_{i_0} y_{i'_0})\}^{1/2}$. Hence the statement holds. ∎

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is sufficient to show that $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ are not unitarily equivalent if $\boldsymbol{a} \neq \boldsymbol{b}$. Assume that $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ are unitarily equivalent when $\boldsymbol{a} \neq \boldsymbol{b}$. We can assume that they act on the same Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that both $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_i)$ and $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}(s_i)$ are written as the same operator s_i on $\mathcal{H}$ for each i. Then there exist two cyclic unit vectors $\Omega_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $\Omega_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ such that the vector states over $\mathcal{O}_A$ associated with $\Omega_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $\Omega_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ coincide with $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $\rho_{\boldsymbol{b}}$, respectively. From Lemma 2.2(i), $\langle \Omega_{\boldsymbol{a}} | \Omega_{\boldsymbol{b}} \rangle = 0$.

For $J, K \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^*$ such that $s_J s_K^* \neq 0$, let $X_{JK} \equiv \langle \Omega_{\boldsymbol{a}} | s_J s_K^* \Omega_{\boldsymbol{b}} \rangle$. Define $\xi \equiv d_1 s_J^* \Omega_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $\zeta \equiv d_2 s_K^* \Omega_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ where d_1 and d_2 are normalization constants of ξ and ζ, respectively. Then we see that ξ and ζ satisfy the assumption in Lemma 2.2(ii). Hence $X_{JK} = (d_1 d_2)^{-1} \langle \xi | \zeta \rangle = 0$ for any J, K. Since $\mathrm{Lin}\langle \{ s_J s_K^* \Omega_{\boldsymbol{b}} : J, K \} \rangle$ is dense in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_A \Omega_{\boldsymbol{b}}}$, $\Omega_{\boldsymbol{a}} = 0$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ are not unitarily equivalent. ∎

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Define the state ρ over $\mathcal{O}_A$ by $\rho \equiv \langle \mathbf{1} | \eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}(\cdot) \mathbf{1} \rangle$. By the definition of $\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, we can verify that

$$\rho(s_J s_J^*) = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_{m-1}} x_{j_m} \tag{2.8}$$

when $s_J \neq 0$ for each $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^m$. Define the state $\tilde{\rho}$ over $\mathcal{O}_A$ by

$$\tilde{\rho} \equiv \langle \mathbf{1} \otimes e_\varepsilon | \Pi_{\boldsymbol{a}}(\cdot)(\mathbf{1} \otimes e_\varepsilon) \rangle. \tag{2.9}$$

By using (2.8), we can verify that $\tilde{\rho} = \rho_{\boldsymbol{a}}$. From Theorem 1.1 and the uniqueness of GNS representation up to unitary equivalence, the statement holds. ∎

Especially, when $A_{ij} = 1$ for all i, j, $\mathcal{O}_A \cong \mathcal{O}_n$ and the state ρ in (2.8) satisfies

$$\rho(s_J s_K^*) = \sqrt{a_J a_K} \quad (J, K \in \bigcup_{l \geq 1} \{1, \ldots, n\}^l) \tag{2.10}$$

where $a_J \equiv a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_m}$ when $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m)$. On the other hand, the state $\tilde{\rho}$ in (2.9) satisfies

$$\tilde{\rho}(s_J s_K^*) = \delta_{JK} a_J \quad (J, K \in \bigcup_{l \geq 1} \{1, \ldots, n\}^l). \tag{2.11}$$

In order to construct $\tilde{\rho}$ from ρ, we add the Kronecker delta of (2.11) to ρ by using the left regular representation of the free group $\mathbf{F}_n$.

3 Examples

We show examples in this section.

3.1 $\Lambda(A)$

For $n \geq 2$, let $A = (A_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_n(\{0,1\})$. We show examples of $\Lambda(A)$ in (1.2).

Example 3.1 If $A_{ij} = 1$ for each i, j, then the following holds ([10], § 2.1):

$$\Lambda(A) = \{(a_i)_{i=1}^n : a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 1,\ a_i > 0 \text{ for all } i\}.$$

Example 3.2 If $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$\Lambda(A) = \left\{ \left(x,\ \frac{1}{x} - 1 \right) : \frac{1}{2} < x < 1 \right\}.$$

Example 3.3 If $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$\Lambda(A) = \left\{ \left(x,\ y,\ \frac{1 - xy}{1 + x + y + xy} \right) : 0 < x, y < 1 \right\}.$$

For example, $\boldsymbol{a} = (1/3, 1/3, 1/2)$ belongs to $\Lambda(A)$. In this case, $(1/4, 1/4, 1/2)$ is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}A$. The representation $(L_2[0,1], \eta_{\boldsymbol{a}})$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$ in (1.4) is given as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \{\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_1)\phi\}(y) = & \sqrt{3}\chi_{R_1}(y)\phi(3y + 1/4), \\ \{\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_2)\phi\}(y) = & \sqrt{3}\chi_{W_1}(y)\phi(3y - 3/4) + \sqrt{3}\chi_{W_2}(y)\phi(3y - 1/2), \\ \{\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_3)\phi\}(y) = & \sqrt{2}\chi_{R_3}(y)\phi(2y - 1) \end{cases}$$

for $\phi \in L_2[0,1]$ and $y \in [0,1]$ where $R_1 \equiv [0, 1/4]$, $R_3 \equiv [1/2, 1]$, $W_1 \equiv [1/4, 1/3]$ and $W_2 \equiv [1/3, 1/2]$, and χ_Y denotes the characteristic function of $Y \subset [0,1]$.

3.2 Several realizations of representations of $\mathcal{O}_n$

Example 3.4 Assume $A_{ij} = 1$ for each i, j. For $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_i)_{i=1}^n \in \Lambda(A)$, let $c_0 \equiv 0$, $c_i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^i a_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then $\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ in (1.4) is given as follows:

$$\{\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_i)\phi\}(y) \equiv a_i^{-1/2}\chi_{[c_{i-1},c_i]}(y)\phi((y - c_{i-1})/a_i) \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n) \tag{3.1}$$

for $y \in [0, 1]$ and $\phi \in L_2[0, 1]$.

Example 3.5 Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$ and ε denote generators and the unit of $\mathbf{F}_2$, respectively. Assume that $A = (A_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_2(\{0, 1\})$ and $A_{ij} = 1$ for each $i, j = 1, 2$. From Example 3.1, we see that $\Lambda(A) = \{(a, b) : a+b = 1,\ a, b > 0\}$. For $\boldsymbol{a} = (a, b) \in \Lambda(A)$, we introduce a representation $\Pi'_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_2$ on the Hilbert space $l_2(\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{F}_2)$ which is unitarily equivalent to $\Pi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ in Theorem 1.4. Define the representation $\eta'_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_2$ on $l_2(\mathbf{N})$ by

$$\eta'_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_1)e_n \equiv \sqrt{a}e_{2n-1} - \sqrt{b}e_{2n}, \quad \eta'_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_2)e_n \equiv \sqrt{b}e_{2n-1} + \sqrt{a}e_{2n} \tag{3.2}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ where $\{e_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ denotes the standard basis of $l_2(\mathbf{N})$. Since $\eta'_{\boldsymbol{a}}(\sqrt{a}s_1 + \sqrt{b}s_2)e_1 = e_1$ and e_1 is cyclic for $(l_2(\mathbf{N}), \eta'_{\boldsymbol{a}})$, $\eta'_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ in (1.4) (Appendix A). Define $\Pi'_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_i) \equiv \eta'_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_i) \otimes U_{\xi_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $\Pi'_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is a representation of $\mathcal{O}_2$ on $l_2(\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{F}_2)$ which is unitarily equivalent to $\Pi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$. Let $\{e_{n,g} : (n, g) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{F}_2\}$ denote the standard basis of $l_2(\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{F}_2)$. Then the following holds:

$$\begin{cases} \Pi'_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_1)e_{n,g} = & \sqrt{a}e_{2n-1,\xi_1 g} - \sqrt{b}e_{2n,\xi_1 g}, \\ \Pi'_{\boldsymbol{a}}(s_2)e_{n,g} = & \sqrt{b}e_{2n-1,\xi_2 g} + \sqrt{a}e_{2n,\xi_2 g} \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

for each $(n, g) \in \mathbf{N}\times\mathbf{F}_2$. Especially, we can verify that $\rho_{\boldsymbol{a}} = \langle e_{1,\varepsilon}|\Pi'_{\boldsymbol{a}}(\cdot)e_{1,\varepsilon}\rangle$.

For $p, q \in \mathbf{N}$, $\lambda > 0$, assume that $\lambda^p + \lambda^q = 1$ and the greatest common divisor of p and q is 1. If $\boldsymbol{a} = (\lambda^p, \lambda^q)$, then the cyclic subrepresentation of $\Pi'_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ with the cyclic vector $e_{1,\varepsilon}$ is a type III$_\lambda$ factor representation of $\mathcal{O}_2$ which is unitarily equivalent to $\varpi_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ from Theorem 1.1.

Appendix

A A family of irreducible representations of $\mathcal{O}_n$

We show a family of representations of Cuntz algebras [14] related to $\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ in (1.4). Let $S(\mathbf{C}^n) \equiv \{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbf{C}^n : \|\boldsymbol{z}\| = 1\}$. For $\boldsymbol{z} = (z_i)_{i=1}^n \in S(\mathbf{C}^n)$, let

$GP(\boldsymbol{z})$ denote the class of representations $(\mathcal{H},\pi)$ with a cyclic unit vector $\Omega\in\mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi(z_1s_1+\cdots+z_ns_n)\Omega=\Omega$.

We show results of $GP(\boldsymbol{z})$ as follows. For any $\boldsymbol{z}\in S(\mathbf{C}^n)$, the class $GP(\boldsymbol{z})$ consists of only one unitary equivalence class. Hence we can identify the class $GP(\boldsymbol{z})$ and the representative of $GP(\boldsymbol{z})$. For any $\boldsymbol{z}\in S(\mathbf{C}^n)$, $GP(\boldsymbol{z})$ is irreducible. For $\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}^{'}\in S(\mathbf{C}^n)$, $GP(\boldsymbol{z})$ and $GP(\boldsymbol{z}^{'})$ are unitarily equivalent if and only if $\boldsymbol{z}=\boldsymbol{z}^{'}$. For $\boldsymbol{z}=(z_i)_{i=1}^n\in S(\mathbf{C}^n)$, $GP(\boldsymbol{z})$ is unitarily equivalent to the GNS representation by the state ρ over $\mathcal{O}_n$ defined by $\rho(s_Js_{J'}^*)\equiv\overline{z_J}z_{J'}$ where $J,J^{'}\in\bigcup_{k\geq 1}\{1,\ldots,n\}^k$ and $z_J\equiv z_{j_1}\cdots z_{j_k}$ for $J=(j_1,\ldots,j_k)$.

Especially, if $\boldsymbol{z}=(\sqrt{a_i})_{i=1}^n$, then $\eta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ in (1.4) is $GP(\boldsymbol{z})$ for $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_i)_{i=1}^n\in\Lambda(A)$ with respect to the matrix $A_{ij}=1$ for each i,j.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to referees for their numerous suggestions.

References

[1] Araki, H.: Mathematical theory of quantum fields. Oxford University Press (1999)

[2] Berman, A., Plemmons, R.J.: Nonnegative matrices in mathematical sciences. SIAM (1994)

[3] Blackadar, B.: Operator algebras. Theory of C*-algebras and von Neumann algebras. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York (2006)

[4] Connes, A.: Une classification des facteurs de type III. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 6, 133–252 (1973)

[5] Cuntz, J., Krieger, W.: A class of C^*-algebra and topological Markov chains. Invent. Math. **56**, 251–268 (1980)

[6] Dixmier, J.: C^*-algebras. North-Holland Publishing Company Amsterdam New York Oxford (1977)

[7] Enomoto, M., Fujii, M., Watatani, Y.: KMS states for gauge action on $\mathcal{O}_A$. Math. Japon. **29**(4), 607–619 (1984)

[8] Exel, R., Laca, M.: Cuntz-Krieger algebras for infinite matrices. J. reine angew. Math.(Crelle) **512**, 119–172 (1999)

[9] Haag, R.: Local quantum physics. Springer-Verlag (1992)

[10] Izumi, M.: Subalgebras of infinite C*-algebras with finite Watatani indices. I. Cuntz algebras. Commun. Math. Phys. **155**(1), 157–182 (1993)

[11] Kawamura, K., Suzuki, O.: Construction of orthonormal basis on self-similar sets by generalized permutative representations of the Cuntz algebras. preprint RIMS-1408 (2003)

[12] Kawamura, K.: Representations of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras. I —General theory—. preprint RIMS-1454 (2004)

[13] Kawamura, K.: Representations of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras. II —Permutative representations—. preprint RIMS-1462 (2004)

[14] Kawamura, K.: The Perron-Frobenius operators, invariant measures and representations of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras. J. Math. Phys. **46**(8), 083514-1–083514-6 (2005)

[15] Kawamura, K.: Tensor products of type III factor representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras. math.OA/0805.0667v1 (2008)

[16] Okayasu, R.: Type III factors arising from Cuntz-Krieger algebras. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131**(7), 2145–2153 (2002)

[17] Rørdam, M., Størmer, E.: Classification of nuclear C*-algebras Entropy in operator algebras. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2002)

[18] Seneta, E.: Non-negative matrices and Markov chains. 2nd edit. Springer-Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin (1981)